

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/403,205 12/06/1999		12/06/1999	NORMAN BRIDGES	M1851-10	6976
7278	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
DARBY &		Y P.C.	GORT, ELAINE L		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/403,205	BRIDGES, NORMAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elaine Gort	3627 M.4/					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	bruary 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 27-45 is/are pending in the application	l .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-45</u> is/are rejected.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

ø

Application/Control Number: 09/403,205

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlin (WO 97/05931) in view of Pozzobon (EP 0559179 A1).

Perlin discloses the claimed carriage for a roller skate including a pivoting mounting arm (for example see figure 1 near reference 38 and figure 3 near reference 38) for each wheel and a spring (such as reference 42, see also page 5, line 12) acting about the pivot axis of the trailing arm and where the wheel follows a predetermined non-linear path. Perlin discloses the claimed device except for a torsion spring and an adjustable abutment stop. Pozzobon discloses that it is known in the art to provide a helical, coil torsion spring configured to act about a pivot axis of a trailing arm to provide durable wheel constraint to control oscillation (see figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the carriage of Perlin with the helical, coil torsion spring configuration of Pozzobon, in order to provide a durable wheel constraint to control oscillation.

Regarding claim 41, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the abutment stop (56) of Perlin Application/Control Number: 09/403,205

Art Unit: 3627

with adjustability to accommodate wear and also to adjust the firmness of the skate to the users desired performance.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Examiner contends that Pozzobon discloses that it is known in the art to provide a helical, coil torsion spring configured to act about a pivot axis of a trailing arm to provide durable wheel constraint to control oscillation (see abstract for motivation) and concludes that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the carriage of Perlin with the helical, coil torsion spring configuration of Pozzobon, in order to provide a durable wheel constraint to control oscillation. Pozzobon also discloses that this configuration is simple to industrialize which would be an additional motivation.

Application/Control Number: 09/403,205

Art Unit: 3627

1

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9327.

Application/Control Number: 09/403,205

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

160fh 4/14/04

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

EG

June 10, 2004

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600